

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

KATRESE BEVERLY,

Plaintiff,

v.

LELAND C. DUDEK,

Defendant,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

1:24-CV-1399-RP-SH

ORDER

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Susan Hightower concerning Plaintiff Katrese Beverly's ("Beverly") Motion for Summary Judgment and Brief in Opposition to the Commissioner's Decision, (Dkt. 6). (R. &R., Dkt. 10). Beverly timely filed objections to the report and recommendation. (Objs., Dkt. 11).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Because Beverly timely objected to the report and recommendation, the Court reviews the report and recommendation *de novo*. Having done so and for the reasons given in the report and recommendation, the Court overrules Beverly's objections and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Susan Hightower, (Dkt. 10), is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Beverly's motion for summary judgment, (Dkt. 6), is **DENIED**, and the decision of Defendant Leland C. Dudek is **AFFIRMED**.

SIGNED on July 7, 2025.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "R. Pitman", written over a horizontal line.

ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE